Lemma 7. *The series* (10) *converges unconditionally for any* $f \in H$.

Proof. By integrating with the function $f(\xi) \in H$, we can eliminate the term (8) in the representation (7). It remains to apply Lemma 7 proved in [3]. The proof of the lemma is complete. $\square$

Note that the series (10) coincides with the series

$$\sum_{l=1}^{\infty}\Bigl(\sum_{\rho_k \in G_l}(f, Z_k)Y_k\Bigr). \tag{11}$$

According to Lemma 7 above, this series converges to some function $g \in H$. It follows from (11) that $(g, Z_k) = (f, Z_k)$. Lemma 6 implies that $\{Z_k\}$ is dense in H. Hence we have $g(x) = f(x)$. The proof of the theorem is complete.

The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor A. A. Shkalikov for setting the problem and useful discussions.

This research was supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant No. 98-01-01000.

References

1. A. L. Skubachevskii and G. M. Steblov, *Dokl. Ross. Akad. Nauk* [*Russian Acad. Sci. Dokl. Math.*], **321**, No. 6, 1158–1163 (1991).
2. E. I. Galakhov and A. L. Skubachevskii, *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya* [*Differential Equations*], **33**, No. 1, 25–32 (1997).
3. A. A. Shkalikov, *Vestnik Moskov. Univ. Ser. I Mat. Mekh.* [*Moscow Univ. Math. Bull.*], No. 6, 12–21 (1982).
4. M. A. Naimark, *Linear Differential Operators* [in Russian], Nauka, Moscow (1969).
5. M. V. Keldysh, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* [*Russian Math. Surveys*], **26**, No. 4, 15–41 (1971).

M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University

Mathematical Notes, Vol. 65, No. 6, 1999

Erratum

To the article "Concerning the Multiplicators of Fourier Series in the Trigonometric System," by E. D. Nursultanov [*Mathematical Notes*, **63**, No. 2, 205–214 (1998)].

In the statements of Lemma 3, Corollary 1, and Theorem 1, the restriction on the parameter p must be of the form; $1 < p < 2$. In fact, this condition must be used for proving Theorem 2 on the basis of the above assertions.

In Lemma 5 the space ℓ_r must be replaced by the Lorentz space $\ell_{r\infty}$, and the chain of inequalities in the proof should read as follows:

$$\Bigl\|\sum_{k=-N}^{N}\lambda_k a_k e^{ikx}\Bigr\|_{L_p} \le c\|\lambda a\|_{\ell_{p'p}} \le c\|a\|_{\ell_2}\|\lambda\|_{L_{r\infty}} \le c_1\|f\|_{L_p}\|\lambda\|_{\ell_{p\infty}}.$$

In the statement and the proof of Theorem 4 (in three places) the expression $|\lambda_k - \mu_k|$ must be replaced by $(\lambda-\mu)_k^*$ (the kth element of the nonincreasing permutation of the sequence $\lambda - \mu$).

Institute for Applied Mathematics of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Karaganda
E-mail address: nursult@ipm.karaganda.su